

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/804,950	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KONRADI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> KATHERINE SALMON	<b>Art Unit</b> 1634	

**All Participants:**

(1) KATHERINE SALMON.

(2) Katen Elbing.

**Date of Interview:** 6 May 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Katherine Salmon/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed potential amendments to the claims, however, the proposed examiner's amendment was not elected by the applicant. The examiner indicated that the finality of the previous office action would be withdrawn based upon reconsideration of the art of record and the interpretation of the claim language. .